United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/711,739	10/01/2004	Hung-Hsiang Chang	MTKP0105USA	5738
_ , , , ,	7590 09/14/200 RICA INTELLECTUA	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 506		PHAM, VAN T		
MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2627		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	09/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

winstonhsu.uspto@gmail.com Patent.admin.uspto.Rcv@naipo.com mis.ap.uspto@naipo.com.tw

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,739	CHANG ET AL.
i	Examiner	Art Unit
	VAN T. PHAM	2627

	VAN T. PHAM		2627	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN C	ONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an otice of Appeal (witl	amendment, af h appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final reje	ection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	later than SIX MONT	HS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corre shortened statutory p r than three months a	esponding amount eriod for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 C	CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or	e of filing a brief search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		nber of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitte	d in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be ente vided below or app	ered, or b) 🔯 wi ended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-10 and 14-19</u> .				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 11-13, 20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the o	date of filing a News	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered and necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejecti y and was not earli	ons under apper er presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of th	ne claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place t	the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Pape	r No(s)		
13. Other:				
· ·		INAVI	XE YOUNG	
	S	UPERVISORY	PATENT EXAMINE	R
		<i>j 7</i>		

Replacement sheet of Figure 5 filed on 8/22/2007 is acknowledged.

Claims 3-10 and 14-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph now are withdrawn. Therefore, claims 3-10 and 14-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

However, In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the acceleration A of the sled actuator in a real time to thereby adjust controlling parameters (e.g. Kd, and Kp)...) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Note: claim 1 recites "receiving acceleration information indicating an acceleration of the sled actuator and/or the pickup head." which is nothing more than an acceleration of the sled actuator and/or the pickup head. See col. 4, n FIG. 6, the acceleration discount factors of .alpha.1, .alpha.2, and .alpha.3 are reduced as the residual track count is reduced. A different acceleration discount factor is corresponding to a different accelerating value. When the acceleration discount factor is larger, the corresponding accelerating value is also larger. Thus, in FIG. 6, when the residual track count is greater than r3, the reference velocity curve employs the third quadratic function, which has the largest acceleration discount factor .alpha.3. In this situation, the decelerating velocity in this part is also the largest. The residual track count from r1 to r2 employs the first quadratic curve function that has the smallest acceleration discount factor .alpha.1. Thus, the decelerating velocity is also the smallest.

(11) For example, when the dual actuator starts deceleration as the residual track count RTC is equal to r4 (r4>r3), it sequentially enters the third quadratic function part, the second quadratic function part, and the first quadratic function part, which respectively have the acceleration discount factors of .alpha.3, .alpha.2, and a1, so as to gradually reduce the accelerating velocity of the dual.

WAYNE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM...